

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,264	SUNDARARAJAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Arlen Soderquist	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Arlen Soderquist. (3) _____.
 (2) Martin Sulsky. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10, 13, 46 and 55

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed changes to the claims that would bring the application into condition for allowance. An agreement was reached and authorization given to make the changes by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)